

ON MONDAY, MAY 21, 2007 AT 7:00 P. M., **THE JACKSON TOWNSHIP COUNCIL HELD** A SPECIAL MEETING IN THE JACKSON MEMORIAL HIGH SCHOOL, FINE ARTS BUILDING

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

ROLL CALL:

COUNCILMAN GUDAITIS
COUNCILWOMAN INGRAM
COUNCILMAN MARE IN
COUNCIL VICE PRESIDENT UPDEGRAVE
COUNCIL PRESIDENT STALLONE

ATTORNEY GILMOIRE (7:05 PM)
TOWNSHIP CLERK EDEN

ALSO IN ATTENDANCE

MAYOR SEDA
ADMINISTRATOR SANROS
GENE FARRELL of Hutchins, Farrell, Meyer & Allison

As Clerk of this meeting, I publicly announce that in compliance with the provisions of the "Open Public Meetings Act" adequate notice of this meeting of the Jackson Township Committee has been advertised in the manner prescribed by law. This statement shall be entered **into the** Minutes of this meeting.

COUNCIL COMMENTS

COUNCILMAN GUDAITIS - He stated the Sub-Committee, Council and Auditor reviewed the budget and he felt it was fair and equitable for the student's education and the taxpayers of Jackson and was looking forward to public comments.

COUNCILWOMAN INGRAM - She discussed reviewing the School Board Budget along with the CPA firm of Hutchins, Farrell, Myer & Allison and stated their actions would not jeopardize the School Boards fiscal integrity or impact the School Boards' ability to provide a thorough and efficient education and they addressed some problems so they wouldn't face the same crisis in the future. She stated their goal was to make sure the impact wouldn't negatively affect the students' education.

COUNCILMAN MARTIN - He thanked everyone for coming and explained the last few weeks had been exhausting as they reviewed the budget and he thanked Councilwoman Ingram and the CPA firm for their thorough and independent review of the budget. He stated once the feasibility study was approved the School Board, Jackson Township and the Mayor's office would work together to get taxes and development Under control and felt confident they would be able to identify specific areas where they could save significant dollars in both the Council and School Board budgets to keep future increases to a minimum. He wished everyone a good night.

COUNCIL VICE PRESIDENT UPDEGRAVE - She thanked everyone for coming and confirmed the Council had reviewed the 2007 School Budget with the Examiner and suggestions were made to facilitate fiscal responsibility and integrity and suggested the Board of Education implement an open public budget workshop to allow the public to voice their concerns and ask questions. The governing body passed a resolution supporting Mayor Seda and the 30" district legislatures in petitioning the Governor to address the disproportionate school funding formula that negatively impacts the Jackson school district. She asked the parents, teachers, students and residents of Jackson to work together with the governing body to take an active stance.

COUNCIL **PRESIDENT** STALLONE - He stated everyone was assembled to address the defeated 2007 School Budget. He explained the Council took into consideration the public's concerns while reviewing the budget and stated it Was a shame the State puts the burden of school funding on property owners. The State mandates how schools operate and what programs they teach and then unfairly distribute aid to each school district. He felt the Board of Education could

do a better job by opening up the budget process for the residents and allow the public to be more involved rather than putting the Township Council in the position of coming up with answers in a couple weeks when it took the Board months to prepare. He thanked everyone for coming.

PUBLIC HEARING OPENED, RESOLUTION 212R-07 ENTITLED: APPROVE/CERTIFY THE AMOUNT TO BE RAISED BY TAXATION FOR THE JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT FOR THE 2007-2008 SCHOOL YEAR BUDGET (PURSUANT TO N.J.S.A. 18A:13-19) ONLY:

ATTORNEY GILMORE stated it would be appropriate for the Examiner to give a brief overview and explain the process to answer questions the public might have.

GENE FARRELL -EXAMINER from the firm Hutchins, Farrell, Meyer & Allison - He gave an overview of his company and stated the problem that exists with Jackson Township lies with the inequity of State Aid. He stated Jackson is a growing community that didn't receive additional funding during the period of extensive growth and resulted in higher taxes. He then reviewed the financial statements of the district keeping in mind the Councils' concerns to avoid eliminating programs or crippling the district financially. He discussed some revenue enhancements and appropriation adjustments within the budget and the reduction of approximately 52.4 or about 4 d on the tax rate, he offered to answer any questions.

PAUL MAYEROWITZ-91 CYPRESS POINTE LANE-He asked about the components relative to the proposed reduction of 52.4 million and what was his assessment for the Administrative head count and if there was a potential to reduce it. Mr. Farrell stated the components within the tax reduction are made up of cuts within appropriations and a number of revenue enhancements and felt the district could anticipate an additional \$475K in surplus. He further explained the Childcare Fund that had a surplus balance of over 51, 040,000 and suggested utilizing that money as a revenue source to reduce taxation and suggested the district implement an aggressive cash management program because their current program takes the money left in the checking account each night and moves it to an investment account and anticipates using that to generate another \$150K. Mr. Mayerowitz questioned Administration staffing. Mr. Farrell explained they found the Administrative to student ratio to be appropriate and indicated Superintendents are utilized very well in how it relates to the overall operation of the district.

NICKOLAS ANTANOFF-MURRAY DRIVE: He addressed Mr. Farrell and asked what the projected student population for the district he is budgeting for was and why 52.5 million in additional unreserved funding wasn't included in the tax levy? Mr. Farrell stated his examination was focused on the statutory requirements and had nothing to do with student enrollment or student population because the only way they could effectuate any adjustment within the tax levy was either through reduction in appropriations or revenues and the only revenue impacted by student population is State Aid and they could only make adjustments in miscellaneous revenue, tuition or surplus. Mr. Farrell suggested that Mr. Antanoff spend some time with the Superintendent to better understand the numbers and income adjustments. Mr. Antanoff stated he would meet with Mr. Foster next week.

JOSEPH MALTA -SYDOR AVENUE - He stated he came to Jackson in 1952 as a teacher and stated children want to go to college but parents can't afford to send their kids to college when the schools are paying high wages and doing a lot of unnecessary things. Council President Stallone explained they are not the Board of Education and are not responsible for those things. Mr. Malta went to Benton in the 50's along with many others because they had the highest property tax. He then stated Jackson has the highest real estate in the nation and in the 90's they received a 55 million surplus. He did some math and the total didn't amount to 55 million and asked why they need to go to taxpayers for more money and stated they are not talking about the \$55 million they received in State aid. Attorney Gilmore explained it's not a matter of receiving State Aid but the inequity in how it's distributed and some districts are getting far more aid than others even though some of those districts have fewer students than Jackson. Councilman Martin added that over 7z

the money is going to 33 districts and Jackson lost out on over \$14 million in State Aid over the last 6 years. These are the challenges everyone is facing.

SAL DUSCIO-GREEN VALLEY ROAD: He stated the budget was reviewed last year by Dr. Marlow and \$4 million dollars was suppose to be returned to the taxpayers for tax relief and when he asked where the money was he was told it was being put back in the budget but he didn't see the \$4 million and asked where it was? Mr. Farrell couldn't comment on last years budget and felt it might be in the surplus because it was a little over \$4 million. Mr. Duscio stated every year there has been a surplus and it's been piling up and felt there was a mysterious fund someplace and asked where the money goes for students accounted for in the budget but doesn't exist yet. He suggested having about three budgets so residents would know where money is going. Mr. Farrell explained there was a state law that require districts to provide tax relief on an ongoing basis and the minimum amount of surplus is approximately 2% of the operating expenses and appears in the audit report showing the anticipated budget verses what's left over and it shows they spend 97% and the residual balance runs between \$3-\$4 million dollars.

MARYBE TILFINGER: She asked if there is a surplus every year or if it's rolled over? Mr. Farrell explained the surplus is basically the difference between what their operating costs are and the operating revenues. She stated there "as \$1 million surplus in childcare but it may not be there next year. Mr. Farrell explained the childcare program is an enterprise fund and the revenues are specifically dedicated for that and in the past there was a positive cash flow of approximately \$350K and for whatever reason some related costs were used in the general fund and he recommended using that money for relief. She was concerned about the \$1 million when teachers are being laid off Mr. Farrell advised that had nothing to do with any ongoing programs incorporated in the 07-08 budget. Mr. Gilmore explained the budget adopted by the governing body does not require any teachers to be laid off and her questions regarding teachers being laid off should be addressed to the Board of Education. Councilwoman Ingram explained how money is being spent, saved and investment projections are based on the past and reallocating monies for the future. Rather than leaving a \$1 million in surplus they should use it where it's needed. Ms. Winger asked if there were any recommendations made that could change the numbers? Councilwoman Ingram stated they met with the Board of Education and recommendations were made.

COLLEEN SHEER-24 ASHFORD ROAD: She stated Mr. Brennan is a very smart man and can't imagine he'd miss \$52.4 million. Councilwoman Ingram stated it wasn't missing and in the review process they found some areas that needed tweaking and changes made because they approached it from a different point of view. Councilman Martin explained they all reviewed the budget looking at things differently and made suggestions and if they didn't like the suggestions they could appeal their decision. Ms. Sheer said it would never happen because by the time they appealed it, it would be time for the next budget. Mr. Farrell stated he made suggestions to reduce some of the costs and named Special Education as one because keeping the students in town would be worth it rather than bus them to another district.

GAIL MOLLOY-390 FRANK APPLGATE: She stated she has paid Social Security and Medicare taxes for the 18 years she's worked in childcare and that childcare is funded 100% by clients. Mr. Farrell explained that Social Security is being paid by the General Fund and is supported through taxation and felt Ms. Molloy was connecting the \$1 million in surplus to hiring back the 22 teachers. Attorney Gilmore tried to explain the governing body can't dictate to the school Board on how to use the money and hire back the teachers and asked her if that was the case why didn't the School Board use it instead of laying off teachers. Ms. Molloy made a statement that if the School Board wanted the 22 teachers back there'd be a way to get them.

MARTY SPIELMAN-37 SHOAT ROAD: He hopes the Township Council would take it upon themselves to have all auditor examine why they ended up with a \$10 million surplus after raising taxes 19.6% last year? He then stated special education costs several million dollars to fund children out of the district and is easy for someone on the outside to say something else can be done to reduce the cost but there are certain laws to be followed and certain procedures and certain construction that must be done and there's more to it than just passing a referendum and stated the State is unresponsive to it's residents and doesn't fund education equally and when he left the meeting between the Township liaisons and the Board of Education he was under the impression it

was \$2.1 million that they attempted to reduce to \$1.5 million which would have prevented the loss of 22 positions and to use those funds to let the teachers know they would be rehired. Attorney Gilmore stated he listened to him discuss various numbers pertaining to the budget and confirmed there was a 4% cap that necessitated putting 22 teachers on notice but that was before the budget was ever voted on and the budget was approved and asked him why he gave those teachers the advice that it was because of the cap and he gave those teachers notices just to put pressure on them and it's not fair to play with people's lives. Mayor Soda addressed Mr. Spielman regarding his comments and stated if he was diligent in preparing the budget knowing the cap was in place and fully disclose their budget to the public what *really* happens with the school budget they would have it better understanding and they wouldn't be sitting here next year looking at another budget cut. He stated he did as much as he could to get more money from the State and felt it was a shame for Mr. Spielman to blame the Council for \$300K when he knew he was going to serve those 22 teachers before the budget was reviewed.

VICKIE HEALER-STUDENT: She stated some of the best teachers were taken away from them. Council President Stallone explained to her the teachers were cut by the Board of Education prior to the budget being defeated and it had nothing to do with their review. Attorney Gilmore praised her for coming forward and speaking to the governing body on behalf of the teachers that taught them so much in preparing for life and he and governing body would like to see the teachers return.

ANDREANNE NICAUSNICK-STUDENT: She asked why they were talking about the teachers being let go and how it tied in with what they are discussing tonight? Council President Stallone explained it was because someone from the audience brought it up. Attorney Gilmore explained the notices were given to the teachers prior to the budget being defeated because of a 4% cap that the State placed on every School Board in the State and when the budget was defeated by the voters the governing body was obligated to review the budget and even if they approved it as it appeared to the voters the 22 teachers would have been cut. He went on to explain the governing body, along with the special Auditor, reviewed the budget and tried to make necessary cuts that wouldn't negatively impact education or reduce teachers. Ms. Nicausnick asked if the money goes back to the teachers? Attorney Gilmore stated the governing body only certified the amount of money that goes back to the School Board and has no authority on what they decide to do with the money. Council Vice President Updegrave addressed Ms. Nicausnick stating how she wished she attended the last Council meeting when they learned of teachers losing their jobs and how upsetting it was to each Council member but when the budget came before them to review, the teachers had already lost their jobs and that should be explained to the students. She applauded her for speaking and explained the Council was there for everyone in the Township including the students and teachers.

DONNA TUNINARO-DIAMOND ROAD: She stated there has been many contradictions tonight and felt they all agreed that no teachers should be cut and the students deserve the best education possible and pointing fingers and placing blame isn't getting them anywhere. She was upset the public is being accused of defeating the budget when they were really voting to keep their taxes from going up and not for reducing the quality of education or teaching staff and asked who determines how much funding the schools get? Attorney Gilmore explained the Abbott decision and how some towns get more than others and the State allocates funds among the districts based on a per pupil basis that was frozen several years ago, he explained the Jackson School District had increased the number of students while other districts were declining in the number of students but are still getting the same amount of funding. Attorney Gilmore stated the School Board claims to be working on getting it changed. Mayor Soda stated there are more districts that are over funded and don't want the system to change because if the State reduces their funding they wouldn't be able to give themselves raises and reminded her of the petition he sent to over 500 districts and only received about 50 back and how some wrote asking him not to contact them again because they were doing fine the way things are and stated more people need to be *aware* of how the School Board budget works and get involved to share ideas. Ms. Tuninara stated she votes on the School Board election but is really voting on whether or not she wants her taxes to go up and if Jackson got their fair share of State Aid they wouldn't be there tonight.

CEORCE MOIZNINCSTERN-765 ANDERSON ROAD: He was concerned about 22 classrooms without teachers and Mr. Gilmore explained the teachers are still teaching but their contracts may not be renewed for the following year and understood the Board of Education would

bring many of them back through retirement and leaves, etc. He stated he has to trust his elected official to do the right thing.

ANNE GORE-7 ASHLEIGH ROAD: She stated the placements of the School Board and Township Council elections impacts who comes out to vote and if they vote on the school budget they should be able to vote on the entity that raises taxes. She stated thanks to the quality education her children receive in Jackson they are able to go onto major universities and felt the budget was defeated because of the senior population and feels badly that the parents of students who want education didn't come out to vote. She felt Jackson doesn't spend enough on their children compared to other towns in what they've been able to accomplish and is embarrassed that Jackson is remembered for having run down schools and poor playing fields and would rather see taxes go up and then asked Mr. Duscio if he had the option to leave the budget the way it was and not make any cuts? She stated she and many others commute and it's difficult to vote on each election when they are throughout the year and requested to have all the elections held in November when everyone can vote and not just the residents that are retired. Attorney Gilmore explained the State dictates when each election is held. She said it's sad to see Jackson going backwards. Council President Stallone stated none of the cuts would impact any of the fields.

PAUL MAYEROWITZ-91 CYPRESS POINTE LANE: He stated the Board of Education spoke of cutting 17 teachers and two weeks ago the Council was advised and doesn't understand how it changed to 22. Councilwoman Ingram explained there were 17 positions plus 2 in Administration that he commented didn't add up to 22. He asked where they were becoming more aggressive with regard to investing and how is the portfolio changing? Mr. Farrell explained the time value of money and how the current money is in it sweep account and invested in whatever the prevailing rate is that evening. Mr. Mayerowitz stated if they had budget workshops and details were provided any financial professional in Jackson would recognize that as being it problem and recommended changing it instead of losing \$150K on an annual basis, which has gone on for an unknown amount of years. He then asked about the childcare fund balance and looked at the Board of Education budget presented to the public and found nothing on childcare and asked where the money is budgeted? Mr. Farrell explained the funds lie in an enterprise fund and there is no budget for that account. Mr. Mayerowitz asked how many accounts like that one are there? Councilwoman Ingram explained various funds that Mr. Mayerowitz didn't see are in the 18 page document that was presented to the public. He then stated most of the changes proposed regarding the \$2.4 million are accounting entries and of the \$2.4 million there is probably only about \$425K that represents expenditures. Mr. Mayerowitz discussed a number and stated the Board of Education had the power to hire back the teachers. Mr. Farrell explained the Board of Education could do whatever it deemed necessary to support the appropriations. Councilman Martin explained as part of the budget process the Board can choose to go below 2% figure but the Council can't tell them to because it is their decision. Attorney Gilmore explained if the governing body adopts the resolution as proposed the budget surplus would be approximately \$2.3 million and the Council is not allowed to go below that but if the Board wanted to take \$500K to rehire the 22 teachers they could. Mr. Mayerowitz explained there are 31 Abbott districts in the State based on economic and education criteria and Jackson could pass for both and since its inception no districts have been cut and of those 31 districts 13 no longer qualify under the economic or financial criteria. He stated Hoboken made an economic recovery since they became an Abbott district and is number one in the State in terms of student spending aid that receives \$19,300 per student and Jackson spends about \$10K per student. He added about 5450 million come out of our pockets and if the residents are adamant about Abbott districts and want to do something they need to yell a lot louder.

JUDY MCGLOUGHLIN-RIKEL: She wanted to dispel the myth that all seniors voted against the school budget by stating her mother is 91 years old and has voted for the school budget every year and stated how important education is and how it helped her children become successful people and everyone needs to pull together.

WILLIAM SKOWRONSKI-TUSCANY DRIVE: He was disturbed hearing some of the comments made and felt Jackson is on a downward slide and can't understand why people are comparing our school system to places like Virginia where nobody would want to be in terms of quality education stating our teachers know far more than the teachers in Virginia. He stated we all need to pull together in the spirit of cooperation and asked why the Council didn't suggest to the Board of Education when they found \$1 million dollars, to hire back the teachers. Since the Township doesn't have an agreement with the Board of Education, he wondered how many

accounting procedures and how much money should be found during the year and how much of the money does the Board of Education disagree can be found and if it's not found what will happen to the taxes the following year. Will it be a repeat of the Town Council's taxes where they claimed we were in such had shape for so many years and the taxes grew disproportionately? The amount of increase that was proposed for the school budget was minimal and apologized to those that feel 5132.00 a year was lot. He stated they were proposing cutting \$2.4 million and asked if it represents a cut in the increase or a cut over last years' taxes. Council confirmed it would be a cut in the increase. Council President Stallone explained the original increase was 8.5 c per \$130K for \$150K assessed value. Mr. Skowronski stated they are losing 22 teachers for what amounts to \$66 a year. Any loss of money will affect how many will be hired the following year and asked them to work with the Board of Education to use the childcare fund and hire back the teachers. Council President Stallone explained they can suggest it but it is up to the Board of Education to make the final decision and there are a lot of people in town that \$66.00 is a lot of money and those were the ones that voted 2 to 1 against the budget that put the Council in its present position. He added if the Board of Education made their documents public and had a more open process the public would have a better understanding. Mr. Skowronski asked about a change to the health benefits and Council President Stallone gave a brief overview of their coverage.

EILEEN KOCHIS-10 SANDCASTLE CT.: She asked about Special Education and in order to have the program in house they need additional funding for special services and by cutting the budget they are not able to do it. Mr. Farrell explained there would have to be a significant investment in facilities by referendum or they could form a consortium of schools from other districts to minimize the outsourcing to private schools and minimize transportation. She stated there is a 4% cap and Special Education schools raise their tuition more than 4% each year and could be as much as 10% - 15%. Mr. Farrell stated the Board of Education had interest in exploring this option as well as others. Ms. Kochis felt their suggestion of cutting courses such as the Special Education classes she teaches would have a negative impact on the children and made Council members aware that this area has the highest number of autism in the state and is afraid they will cut it to save money. Attorney Gilmore explained parents with Special Education needs would love to have their children closer rather than send them elsewhere and asked the Board if there was a way to provide that education in house. He said initially it would cost a great deal of money to set up but in the long run it would save the district money. Ms. Kochis asked about some other programs and Council Vice president Updegrave stated the school budget doesn't show where they put the money appropriately and the Council is not cutting money from areas Ms. Kochis may think they are and explained Councilwoman Ingram and Councilman Martin have an extensive financial background and will continue meeting with the Board of Education and draw from their knowledge to show where money can be saved or demonstrate better ways of doing things with regard to spending. She also stated this governing body has worked very hard on the local and State level to address the Town's budget problems. Councilman Martin discussed how well the Council works together with the School Board and how they look to sharing services.

NICOLAS EDKEI-PARTRIDGE ROAD- He asked how the after school sports clubs and late buses will be affected by the cuts because the D.A.R.E. program encourages students to get involved in various after school clubs. Council President Stallone stated their cuts have nothing to do with clubs or busing but can't guarantee what the School Board does and assured him their cuts wouldn't impact those areas at all.

NICKOLAS ANTANOFF-MURRAY DRIVE: He discussed various tax cuts and Mayor Seda advised him his questions and comments need to be addressed to the School Board.

ANN GORE-7 ASHFORD ROAD: She asked what happens now after hearing everyone speak? The Council stated they take a public vote this evening. Ms. Gore asked them to not make any cuts. Leave the Budget as is.

ANNE MARIE NEVERS-11 RACHEL COURT: She confirmed the Township is under funded by the State and asked if there was anything else they can do to inform the residents and get the information out? Mayor Seda suggested she and other residents should check the Township's website regularly for very useful information. Ms. Nevers stated she moved to Jackson because there was so much potential and over the years things changed and she doesn't know from year to year what to expect and would recommend anyone considering moving to Jackson to give it a great deal of thought.

JOE ALBANY-295 EAST BIRD VILLAGE ROAD: He stated they passed a \$7 million ordinance for recreation and asked if they couldn't find 525 million to make the budget pass? Administrator Santos explained bond ordinances and the criteria set forth by State statute and outlined the life expectancy of a particular project. The law does not permit the Township Council to go out and borrow the money for day to day operating costs. Mr. Albany discussed the benefit of the gifted and talented program and his fears of having programs like that cut. He then asked about the summer programs recreation camp that started at 900 am and shut down at 1000 a.m. because they exceeded the maximum number of children and how his son was on a waiting list of 80 kids. Mayor Seda explained they are rectifying that problem and apologized. Mayor Seda also explained the Township deals with Township issues and the School Board deals with their issues and you can't mix those authorities and long standing problems can't be solved overnight but Councilwoman Ingram and Councilman Marlin have done a great job in reaching out to the Board of Education to find ways to share services.

SAL DUSCIO-GREEN VALLEY ROAD: He pointed out that mandated programs are never cut. The problem he sees is with underpaid teachers and overpaid Administrators and how one Principal had three assistants and that's where the money goes.

MOTION TO CLOSE PUBLIC HEARING, RESOLUTION 212R-07 ONLY BY: MARTIN
MOTION SECONDED BY: INGRAM
 YES: GUDAFFIS, INGRAM, MARTIN, UPDEGRAVE & STALLONE

RESOLUTION 212R-07

TITLE: APPROVE/CERTIFY TILE AMOUNT 10 BE RAISED BY TAXATION FOR THE JACKSON TOWNSHIP SCHOOL DISTRICT FOR THE 2007-2008 SCHOOL YEAR BUDGET (PURSUANT TO N.J.S.A. 18A:13-19)

MOTION TO APPROVE BY: MARTIN
MOTION SECONDED BY: INGRAM
 YES: GUDAITIS, INGRAM, MARTIN, UPDEGRAVE & STALLONE

COUNCIL PRESIDENT STALLONE stated he was assured by the Auditor the cuts they proposed will not affect the quality of education and voted yes.

WHEREAS, a majority of the voters of the Township of Jackson voted on April 17, 2007 reject the General Fund proposed budget tax Ivey of \$65,194,513.00; and

WHEREAS, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 18A22-37, the budget was submitted to the governing body for its review and determination of the amount, which in its judgment, is necessary to provide a thorough and efficient education for the students in the Jackson Township School District: and

WHEREAS, in accordance with N.J.S.A. 18A:13-19, the governing body consulted with members of the Board of Education and its administration and has reviewed the proposed budget.

NOW, "THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the Township Council of the Township of Jackson, County of Ocean and State of New Jersey

1. **The amount to be included in the taxes to be assessed, levied and collected for the Jackson Township School District for school purposes for the 2007-2008 fiscal year shall be \$62,757,140.00, which constitutes a reduction from the originally proposed budget tax levy in the amount of (52,437,373.00).**

2. The budget tax levy set forth above is an amount necessary to provide a thorough and efficient education in the Jackson Township School District for the 2007-2008 fiscal year.

3. A statement of the specific line-item reductions in budgeted revenues is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

WILLIAM SKOWRONSKI-TUSCANY DRIVE: He stated he didn't hear anything spoken relative to what the individual residents spoke about. Council President Stallone explained they received a number of entails and spoke with many residents on the streets and took everything into consideration. Mr. Skowronski felt his time was for naught because they already made up their minds.

MOTION TO ADJOURN BY: MARTIN

MOTION SECONDED BY: GUDAITIS

YES: GUDAITIS, INGRAM, MARTIN, UPDEGRAVE & STALLONE

9:55 PM

RESPECTFULLY SLBMITTED,

ANN MARIE EDEN
TOWNSHIP CLERK, RMC

AME;/klj